

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FW DIG D			
	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,833	11/04/2003	William Andrus Williams	02410-0111 (42353-294311)	5773
23370 75 JOHN S. PRA	⁵⁹⁰ 11/24/2004 ATT, ESO		EXAMINER	
KILPATRICK	STOCKTON, LLP		NUTTER, NATHAN M	
1100 PEACHT. ATLANTA, G			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			1711	
			DATE MAILED: 11/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/700,833	WILLIAMS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nathan M. Nutter	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M 1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	ONTH(S) FROM eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication
Status		· ·
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 (2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under 	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
Disposition of Claims	, dady.o, 1000 O.D.	11, 400 O.G. 213.
 4) Claim(s) 1-26 and 34-38 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) 26 and 37 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-25 and 36 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 34 and 35 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance ion is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		102.
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in App ity documents have been re- (PCT Rule 17 2(a))	lication No ceived in this National Stage
ttachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Sumi Paper No(s)/M 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/700,833

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

The restriction requirement of 1 October 2004 is hereby withdrawn. Claims 1-26 and 34-37 will be examined together.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 26 and 37 is allowed.

Claim Objections

Claims 19-24, 34 and 35 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 19-23 are drawn to a method for producing a latex article, yet depend ultimately from claim 1 drawn to a "method of making an elastomeric formulation." This is not a method of producing a formed article. Further, claim 24 is drawn to a composition, yet depends from claim 1 drawn to a method. Claims 34 and 35 depend from cancelled claims 27 and 33, respectively. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-25 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/700,833

Art Unit: 1711

The recitation in claims 1, 25 and 36 of "a base polymer having carboxyl groups" is not enabled by the teachings of the Specification at page 4, 5th full paragraph. That section recites monomers acrylonitrile and isoprene as though they are polymers. Butadiene rubber, neoprene and natural latex rubber do not have carboxylate groups, yet are intended for inclusion of applicants' definition for "a base polymer having carboxyl groups". The determination thereof, for an artisan of ordinary skill in the art, would require the undue burden of experimentation. Further, the recitation in claims 1, 25 and 36 of a "carboxylic acid or derivative(s) thereof" is not enabled by the teachings of the Specification at page 5, 1st full paragraph, since the term is used in derogation of its meaning. The term "carboxylic acid" embraces a class of compounds that may include amino acids and other species either not suitable nor compatible for inclusion. No clear criterion is expounded herein. The Specification, further, states that "(d)erivatives of carboxylic acid include...copolymers, blends and mixtures". A copolymer is NOT a derivative. Again, the determination thereof, for an artisan of ordinary skill in the art, would require the undue burden of experimentation. Further, the recitation in claims 1, 25 and 36 of "a divalent or trivalent metal" is not enabled by the teachings of the Specification at the paragraph bridging page 5 (line 37) to page 6 (line 34) of the Specification since the term is used in derogation of its meaning. The Specification indicates the addition of metal ions and not of metal, per se. Again, the determination thereof, for an artisan of ordinary skill in the art, would require the undue burden of experimentation. Further, the recitation in claims 1, 25 and 36 of "an amine or amino compound" is not enabled by the teachings of the Specification at the paragraph

Application/Control Number: 10/700,833

Art Unit: 1711

bridging page 6 to page 7 of the Specification since the term is not clearly discussed as to constitution, but, rather as to function, "capable of adjusting the pH of the latex". As such, the determination thereof, for an artisan of ordinary skill in the art, would require the undue burden of experimentation. The further, recitation of "a neutralizing agent" is not enabled by the teachings of the Specification at the paragraph bridging page 4 to page 5 since the term is not disclosed as to its meaning.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-25 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are not clear as to their proper metes and bounds since the terms "a base polymer having carboxyl groups", "a carboxylic acid or derivative thereof", "a divalent or trivalent metal", "an amine or amino compound" and of "a neutralizing agent" are not clear as to either their content or scope as to what may or may not be included. As such, these claims are deemed to be vague and confusing.

The references to Quigley et al ('367), Rowland et al ('635) and Sullivan et al ('803), all cited in the prosecution of the parent application Serial Number 09/903,230, are retained as of interest, but are not deemed to negate the patentability of the instant claims.

Art Unit: 1711

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan M. Nutter whose telephone number is 571-272-1076. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 a.m.-6:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nathan M. Nutter Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711

nmn

19 November 2004